

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,672	11/30/2001	Ciuter Chang	K35A0853	8677	
35219	7590 09/29/2003				
WESTERN DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 20511 LAKE FOREST DRC205 LAKE FOREST, CA 92630			. EXAMINER		
			MILLER, BRIAN E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2652	ø	
		DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ě		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/010,672		CHANG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Brian E. Miller		2652				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication apports r Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence address	14			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, hower within the statutory mini ill apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be time mum of thirty (30) days IIX (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communica (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ja</u>	<u>uly 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-fir	nal.					
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> on of Claims				ts is			
·		ing in the applica	tion					
	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-5,7-18,20-31 and 33-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_	Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-18, 20-31, 33-39</u> is/are rejected	d.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirer	nent.					
Application	on Papers							
9)[] 1	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	ted or b)☐ objecte	d to by the Exan	niner.				
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			` ,				
11)[_] 7	he proposed drawing correction filed on		• •	ed by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	•	on.					
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been recei	ved.					
	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been recei	ved in Application	n No				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	•				
14)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e)) (to a provisional applic	ation).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language provicknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic							
Attachment		-						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/010,672 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

Claims 1-5, 7-18, 20-31, 33-39 are now pending.

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-11, 13-24, 26-37, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by 2. Otsuka (US 6,529,346). Otsuka sets forth a slider "S" (mainly elements 10 & 11) for a disk drive (see FIG. 10) as shown in the FIGs. 1-2, including: a transducer 11 provided on the rear center pad 13 (20); the slider having a leading 10a and trailing end 10b; an air bearing surface that is configured to include a shallow recessed surface(s) 12 (10d surrounding the surfaces 12) and a deep recessed surface 15 which forms a negative pressure cavity as known in the art; a leading air bearing region (adjacent 10a); a plurality of insular regions 17 configured to reduce stiction with a disk (re claims 9, 22, 35); wherein the shallow recessed surface being disposed between the air bearing surface and the deep recessed surface; wherein the insular region is bounded by either the shallow recessed surface and/or the deep recessed surface (re claims 4-5, 17-18, 30 & 31); wherein the height differential between the one insular region and the leading air bearing region is less than 4 micro inches, i.e., 30 nm (see col. 9, last line) thus considered "substantially coplanar", (re claims 7, 20, 33); wherein both the air bearing surface and insular region are curved thus forming a radius of curvature (see FIG. 2) (re claims 8, 21, 34); a center rear pad 13 is disposed near the trailing end of the slider (re claims 10, 23, 36); the insular

Application/Control Number: 10/010,672 Page 3

Art Unit: 2652

regions are shaped at least as a circle (see FIG. 1) (re claims 11, 24, 37) and are formed with a DLC layer (re claims 13, 26, 39).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 12, 25, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otsuka. For a description, see the rejection, supra. Although Otsuka does not expressly disclose the surface area of the insular regions being between 100-2000 microns squared, it may encompass this wide range inherently. Irrespective of that however, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided such a range, as it would have been apparent to a skilled artisan that the more insular regions would reduce stiction, but too many would affect flying characteristics of the slider. The motivation would have been: lacking any criticality or any unobvious or unexpected results, the given range of surface area would have been readily encompassed by routine engineering optimization and experimentation.

Response to Amendment

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/2/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

A...Applicant's main assertion is that the prior art (to Otsuka) does not show "the leading air bearing region and the 9at least one) insular region are substantially co-planar." As "substantially" is still considered to be a broad term, the Examiner maintains that the teachings of Otsuka would encompass this phraseology, as set forth that the height differential is very small.

Art Unit: 2652

B...Applicant's amendment does overcome the AAPA, however, and these rejections have been withdrawn appropriately.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Miller whose telephone number is (703) 308-2850. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:45am-5:15pm (FF off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,672

Art Unit: 2652

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

> Brian E. Miller **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2652

bem

September 10, 2003